

Assessment of Citizen Perception: A Case Study of Municipal Solid Waste Management System in Guimaraes

M. Irfanullah Arfeen^{1, 2}, Demetrios Sarantis¹ and Ana Filomena Pereira³

¹Postdoctoral Fellow, United Nations University (UNU-EGOV), Guimarães, Portugal

²Assistant Professor, QASMS, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan

³Environmental Engineer, VITRUS Ambiente at Avenida Cónego Gaspar Estaço

Arfeen@unu.edu

sarantis@unu.edu

filomena.pereira@vitrusambiente.pt

Abstract: This study explores a new method of service delivery in public sector in Guimaraes which is actually the UNESCO Heritage Centre. The basic reason of this effort is the paradigm shift in public service sector which has resulted in improved services in terms of quality and performance. The study was to evaluate level of satisfaction citizens derive from the services provided to them. Innovative project for Solid waste management system was investigated in Guimaraes, Portugal. Data collection was done using convenience sampling technique. Questionnaires were distributed to collect primary data from citizens of Guimaraes. Quantitative techniques were used to analyze data. The findings of the study indicated that citizens of Guimaraes are more satisfied with new and innovative method of service delivery as compared to the old conventional method. The study also revealed that there is a significant positive relationship between Public Service Delivery and Citizen Satisfaction. Findings may help improve public service delivery projects success rates.

Keywords: public service delivery, citizen satisfaction, perceived service quality, solid waste management

1. Introduction

The biggest challenge faced by almost every country and all the organizations in the world is to provide quality services to its customers with less spending. The biggest organizations are public organizations because they serve larger pool of customers (Lipskey & Smith, 1990). Different concepts are applied by the researchers (Oliver, 1980; Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Berry, 1985 and Cronin & Taylor, 1992) to assess the impact of service delivery on citizen satisfaction. Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Berry (1985) stated that SERVQUAL is model applied to assess how quality of a service and customer's expectations and perception regarding that service influences their satisfaction. Besides these models, there is an emerging concept called SERVPERF, this concept is mostly recommended for assessing municipal services (Cronin & Taylor, 1992). The concept of SERVQUAL and SERVPERF are actually service centered. In most of the countries service delivery points are between municipal government and people.

In Portugal like the other countries of the world, public services are provided by the municipal authorities. In Guimaraes Unesco heritage, the Vitrus Ambiente EM SA started the operationalization of urban cleaning (include the solid waste management in a door-to-door system) in January 2016. In April 2016 was implemented the "Pay-as-You-Throw" tariff. Before that, the urban cleaning and solid waste management was municipality responsibility.

Research questions

Following are the research questions which originated from the background information, which were addressed through this study;

1. What is the quality of the services provided by Guimaraes Municipality respectively and how this quality effects citizen satisfaction?
2. How citizen perception about service quality influence their level of satisfaction from public services?
3. How much citizens are satisfied with solid waste management?
4. What is the perception of citizens regarding the performance of municipality?
5. Service delivery greatly depends upon the performance of the organizations providing services.

Mostly municipalities provide public services to citizens. Vitrus is providing solid waste management services in Guimaraes and in this regard assessing the performance of Vitrus is very important.

2. Review of Literature

Service delivery has been the growing phenomena. It is getting considerable importance with each passing day because of the greater competition among governments all over the world (Landrum, Prybutok, Zhang and Peak, 2009). Furthermore, Citizens have also become more aware about their rights regarding services due to globalization, localization, and information revolution (Fitzgerald and Durant, 1980; Brown and Coulter, 1983; Ho and Coates, 2004). Delivering services to public is a challenging job in the present-day world but Information Communication and Technology (ICT) has made this commotion relatively easy and time efficient. Governments employ different methods to deliver public services to people efficiently and effectively (Ethier, 1994; Brown, Ryan and Parke, 2000). Services are measured in multiple ways for example quality, the level of satisfaction the citizens derive from service use, benefits or additional value, demand and customization i.e., made to requirement (Crosby, 1984; Berry, 1985; Eiglier and Langeard, 1987). The performance of the service or the department providing services greatly influences citizen's perception about service delivery and control level of satisfaction they derive from the services, hence can be used for measuring delivery of services (Brown & Coulter, 1983; Parks, 1984; Percy, 1986; Swindell, 2000; Ryzin, Muzzio, Immerwahr, Gulick and Martinez, 2004). However, other than the level of satisfaction citizens derive from service delivery, availability and sustainability of services can also be a greater means to measure the service delivery in a particular setting. It means if the service is available readily as and when required then service delivery mechanism is efficient.

Perception about service quality is based on attitudes and experiences already formed in the minds of customers and this perception can be measured through SERVPERF model which actually measures the service quality with respect to performance component of services as performance of service is the most critical aspect (Bolton and Drew, 1991a, 1991b; Cronin and Taylor, 1992). Citizens' perceptions of public sector performance plays a critical role in determining their levels of trust in government, voluntary policy agreement and public sector policies more generally (Chingos, Henderson and Martin, 2012). There is a gap between the actual performance in the public sector and the perception of the public about performance and due to this gap the satisfaction levels may differ widely. Numerous reason contribute to this gap for example citizen's expectations and knowledge about the services and structure or delivery mechanism (Kelly and Swindell, 2002; Swindell, 2002; Goodsell, 2004; Van de Walle, 2004; Van Ryzin, 2004; Heintzman and Marson, 2005; Rothstein, 2005; Yang and Holzer, 2006; Grimes, 2006; Im et al. 2012). Hence it can be concluded that due to the rapid expansion in the use of ICT that has taken place over the course of the past couple of decades, the role of information in general, and transparency in particular in shaping citizens' general perceptions of government performance and attitudes toward government has increasingly attracted attention from scholars of public management and this has also heightened the role of citizen's perception because perception can be easily deceived through mass media (Welch et al. 2005; Hood 2007; Cook et al. 2010). Therefore, there is a need to assess how citizens develop perception and how this perception influences their satisfaction or dissatisfaction from infinite services of different qualities being provided to them.

3. Research Design

3.1 Theoretical Framework

Literature review identified various gaps in the available literature regarding public service delivery, citizen satisfaction and perceived service quality. Perception of citizens regarding the quality of services like solid waste management will be studied because this is the most important services provided by municipality. This study will explore services with respect to their performance, quality and delivery.

Quality of services will be assessed in terms of tangibility, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy using SERVPERF scale in order to assess it in relation with performance of services.




Figure 1: Theoretical Framework (Path Diagram)




Figure 2: Research Model

3.2 Hypotheses

H1: Citizens of Guimaraes are satisfied with solid waste management services.

H2: There is a direct relationship between Public service delivery and Citizen Satisfaction

H3: Perceived Service Quality mediates between Delivery of public services and Citizen Satisfaction.

The next section will discuss the whole research methodology. It will identify research population, sample size, research instrument and the whole procedure carried out to collect data from respondents.

3.3 Research Methodology

This section gives a brief overview of the whole methodology adopted to collect data from the respondents. It also gives profile of the Guimaraes and its municipal authority. This was an empirical research in which the population consisted of citizens above 24 years of age having basic education. The target population constituted residents and multi-store building of Guimaraes, Unesco heritage site (Figure 3). Sample was taken in a way to ensure diversity in terms of responses and in this regarding data was collected from historical centre. The sample consisted of more than 60% of the population. The study used convenience sampling method. It was ensured that the data is rich in terms of age, education, income and residence or locality. Every house/person and merchants responded to one questionnaire. Questionnaire based survey was used to collect primary data. The questionnaire was designed by adapting already developed scales for various constructs. It also helps in assessing the extent to which citizens are satisfied with the delivery of public services and also the degree to which citizen's perception about service quality and performance of municipality mediates this relationship.




Figure 3: Guimaraes UNESCO Heritage Site

4. Analysis

One year after the urban clean and waste collection service be awarded to the public company *VITRUS Ambiente*, currently responsible for the operation of PAYT Project and street cleaning, researchers make a population inquiry about Urban Cleaning in Historical Centre (HC) to know if they were satisfied or not with the urban cleaning service. Scheme 1 with the data summary analysis show the sampling of users that accepted was involved to this analysis. The intervention area is classified as UNESCO World Heritage and characterized by a high density of construction with predominance of single-family housing and local commerce. In this area were identified 585 users divide into two user's typology (residents and commercial) and were identified 36 uninhabited or unoccupied houses or multi-store building.

In this approach the entire Unesco site was covered and was interview 312 users, corresponding to approximately 60% of HC total users. With more detailed, was registered the opinion of 73% of commercial users and 43% of residents. These were expected because commercial users are more receptive to this type of initiative (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1: Total of users registered in intervention area, the total by typology and sampling to this analysis.

By other hand, the extraordinary results achieved on recycling rates and household waste reduction in the first year of PAYT Project implementation can prove the citizens satisfaction. In first year of PAYT Project implementation was collated 814 ton of municipal waste and recycling. More specifically was collated 538 ton of household waste and 304,22 ton of recyclable material collated separately. Thus, in 2016, 34% of municipal waste collected in HC was collated separately and sent to recycling. Comparatively with the year of 2015, the PAYT project achieved an increase by 124% of recycling rate and decrease by 34% of household waste.

Table 1: Two years comparison

Waste collected (ton)	Year 2015	Year 2016
Household Waste	821	538
Recyclable	128	276
Paper and cardboard	33	74
Plastic (packaging))	67	148
Glass	28	54
TOTAL	949	814



These results plus population feedback, received through direct contact with workers, are also a positive sample of citizen satisfaction because show the positive local community adhesion to the PAYT Project and their efforts to adopt the best environmental and sustainable practices. It is possible conclude this project are a good solution to local community significantly increased the rates of recycling and waste reduction. In addition, it also makes a significant contribution to the application of the hierarchy principle of waste management.

This system taught the population the importance of waste prevention, reuse and recycling and waste valorization perception. Thus, the local community of HC is now part of the solution to the waste production and urban cleaning problem in Guimarães and encourages other in this effort.





5. Findings





During the fieldwork was covered all the streets, houses and commercial buildings of HC. The local community feedback was positive, as show the data analysis in table 2. This work revels also a good opportunity to share some environmental concerns and municipal waste management system dynamics and regulation issues. In the end the population felt that their opinions are important to the urban cleaning services achieve a better performance.

This public satisfaction was important to evaluate the public service, namely the urban cleaning and had two main goals: *i)* analyzed the local community satisfaction about urban cleaning and public hygiene activities (as street cleaning and waste collection), *ii)* developed indivial and collective environmental awareness.

Based on the answers received, it is possible conclude that public are satisfied with the street cleaning and with the municipal waste collection system. In general, local community is very satisfied with the urban cleaning service.

Table 2: Public satisfaction with public services

Question	Answers	
<p>1. Do you think that your street is cleaner?</p>	<p>Comparatively to the previous years, 79% of the respondents said yes, the street is cleaner; 5% not notice differences between now and previous and 16% of respondents said that the street is not cleaner because doesn't agree with the door-to-door municipal waste collection system.</p>	 <p>A pie chart illustrating the responses to the question 'Do you think that your street is cleaner?'. The chart is divided into three segments: a large blue segment representing 'Yes' at 79%, a smaller orange segment representing 'Equal' at 5%, and a grey segment representing 'No' at 16%. A legend to the right of the chart identifies the colors: blue for 'Yes', orange for 'Equal', and grey for 'No'.</p>
<p>2. Do you think that door-to-door municipal waste collection system is:</p>	<p>73% of respondents said that door-to-door municipal waste collection system is excellent or good, to 23% is acceptable and is bad for 4%.</p>	 <p>A pie chart showing the satisfaction levels with the door-to-door municipal waste collection system. The chart is divided into four segments: a large orange segment for 'Good' at 64%, a blue segment for 'Excellent' at 10%, a grey segment for 'Decent' at 22%, and a small yellow segment for 'Bad' at 4%. A legend to the right identifies the categories: blue for 'Excellent', orange for 'Good', grey for 'Decent', and yellow for 'Bad'.</p>
<p>3. Do you agree with "polluter pays" principle?</p>	<p>92% of the respondents agree with this principle and 8% do not agree.</p>	 <p>A pie chart showing agreement with the 'polluter pays' principle. The chart is divided into two segments: a large blue segment for 'Yes' at 92% and a smaller orange segment for 'No' at 8%. A legend to the right identifies the responses: blue for 'Yes' and orange for 'No'.</p>
<p>4. Do you know the "Pay-as-You-Throw" benefits?</p>	<p>- 69% of the respondents know the benefits of the system and 31% do not know.</p>	 <p>A pie chart showing knowledge of the 'Pay-as-You-Throw' benefits. The chart is divided into two segments: a large blue segment for 'Yes' at 69% and a smaller orange segment for 'No' at 31%. A legend to the right identifies the responses: blue for 'Yes' and orange for 'No'.</p>

Question	Answers	
<p>5. Do you have the perception that recycling rate increased because of the PAYT waste tariff implementation?</p>	<p>- 63% of respondents has that perception and 37% no.</p>	 <p>A pie chart with a blue segment representing 'Yes' at 63% and an orange segment representing 'No' at 37%. A legend to the right shows a blue square for 'Yes' and an orange square for 'No'.</p>
<p>6. Do you think that PAYT decreased your waste management tariff?</p>	<p>Comparatively to the previous system: 45% of respondents said yes; for 20% the tariff is the same or almost the same; - 35% said no.</p>	 <p>A pie chart with three segments: blue for 'Yes' at 45%, orange for 'Equal' at 20%, and grey for 'No' at 35%. A legend to the right shows a blue square for 'Yes', an orange square for 'Equal', and a grey square for 'No'.</p>
<p>7. Do you agree with supervision and application of fines for the defaulters?</p>	<p>- 79% of respondents said yes and 21% said no.</p>	 <p>A pie chart with a blue segment representing 'Yes' at 79% and an orange segment representing 'No' at 21%. A legend to the right shows a blue square for 'Yes' and an orange square for 'No'.</p>
<p>8. Do you think that realization of workshops about municipal waste reduce and recycling are important to educate the local population?</p>	<p>- 61% of respondents said yes and 39% said no.</p>	 <p>A pie chart with a blue segment representing 'Yes' at 61% and an orange segment representing 'No' at 39%. A legend to the right shows a blue square for 'Yes' and an orange square for 'No'.</p>

The study reveals a wide range of results which give an insight into the specific public services, its delivery, performance and quality of services.

5.1 Discussion

Satisfaction of citizens with the specific public services was measured using self-reported direct questions. Citizen satisfaction with a particular service was calculated by taking the frequency of all the responses. This method had been used by Sandor and Raboca (2007) previously for determining level of satisfaction of citizens in Romania. The same method was used to calculate the level of satisfaction of citizens in case of urban cleaning and solid waste management in Guimaraes. Citizen were satisfied with solid waste management services and thus H1 hypothesis were readily accepted. It can be assessed that Vitrus is providing better services and are performing well in the service domain.

The results of the study have shown that public service delivery directly influences or alters the level of satisfaction. Results indicate a strong relationship between the dependent and independent variables. Hence, H2 is also accepted. These results are supported by the results of study conducted by (Akinboade, Kinfaek and Mokwena, 2012). However, there exists a direct relationship among the two variables too. Public services can increase or decrease the citizen satisfaction directly. Public authorities providing services must target perception of citizens to improve their satisfaction.

6. Conclusion

The study also indicated that the perception of citizens greatly mediates between delivery of public services and citizens satisfaction. Proper service delivery leads to positive perception about service delivery which increases satisfaction level of citizen. The study recommends various ways to overcome challenges in this regard. In future, Vitrus can use the sensors in the bins to gauge and improve its services. Also, they can provide sms and online complaint handling system to further improve public trust on their services.

Acknowledgement

This paper is a result of the project "SmartEGOV: Harnessing EGOV for Smart Governance (Foundations, methods, Tools) / NORTE-01-0145-FEDER-000037", supported by Norte Portugal Regional Operational Programme (NORTE 2020), under the PORTUGAL 2020 Partnership Agreement, through the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF). It was also supported in part by funding from Tallinn University of Technology, Project B42; OGI - Open Government Intelligence project in the EU Horizon 2020 framework program, grant agreement 693849.

Pay-as-you-throw system project on Guimarães Unesco Site was the result and implementation MSc thesis of Dalila Sepulveda an Environmental Engineer and Environmental Urban Services Department chief of the Guimarães Council. However, all urban cleaning activities and operationalization are Vitrus innovative steps.

References

- Bolton, R.N. & Drew, J.H. 1991, A Multi stage Model of Customers' Assessments of Service Quality and Value. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 17(4):375-384.
- Brown, K., & Coulter, P. B. 1983. Subjective and objective measures of police service delivery. *Public Administration Review*, 42(1), 50-58.
- Brown, Kerry and Ryan, Neal and Parker, Rachel 2000. New Modes of Service Delivery in the Public Sector: Commercialising Government Services. *International Journal of Public Sector Management* 13(2):pp. 206-221.
- Cook, F. L., Jacobs, L. R., & Kim, D. 2010. Trusting what you know: Information, knowledge, and confidence in Social Security. *The Journal of Politics*, 72(2), 397-412.
- Cronin J. Joseph, Jr. and Steven A. Taylor 1992, "Measuring Service Quality: A Reexamination and Extension," *Journal of Marketing*, 56 (July), 55-68.
- Eiglier, P and Langeard, E 1987. *Servuction, Le Marketing des Services*, Paris: McGraw-Hill.
- Fitzgerald, M. R.; Durant, R. F. Citizen Evaluations and urban management: Service delivery in an era of protest. // *Public Administration Review*, 40, 6(1980), pp. 585-594.
- Goodsell, C.T. 2004. *The Case for Bureaucracy: A Public Administration Polemic*. 4th ed. Washington, DC. CQ Press.
- Heintzman, R., & Marson, B. 2005. People, service and trust: is there a public sector service value chain? *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 71(4), 549-575. Hesse and Sharpe 1990/91
- Hood, C. 2007. A public management for all seasons? *Public administration*, 69 (1), 3
- Johnson, N. (ed) 1995, *Private Markets in Health and Welfare: An international perspective*, Berg, Oxford.
- Kelly, J. M., & Swindell, D. 2002. A Multiple-Indicator Approach to Municipal Service Evaluation: Correlating Performance Measurement and Citizen Satisfaction across Jurisdictions. *Public Administration Review*, 62(5), 610-621.
- Kelly, J.M. & Swindell, D. 2003. The case for the inexperienced user: Rethinking filter questions in citizen satisfaction surveys. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 33(1):91108.

- Landrum, H., Prybutok, V. R. Kappelman, L. A., & Zhang, X. (2008). SERVCESS: A parsimonious instrument to measure service quality and information system success. *The Quality Management Journal*, 15(3), 17-25
- Lipskey, M.; Smith S. R. Non-profit organizations, government and welfare state. // *Politic science quality*, 104, 4(1990), pp. 625-648.
- Lowery, D. and W. E. Lyons. 1989. "The Impact of Jurisdictional Boundaries: An Individual-Level Test of the Tiebout Model." *Journal of Politics* 51: 73–97.
- Oliver, R. L. 1980. A cognitive model of the antecedents and consequences of satisfaction decisions. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 42, 460–469.
- Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A. & Berry, L. L. 1985. Conceptual model of service quality and its implications for future research. *Journal of marketing*, 49, 41-50.
- Parks, R. B. 1984. Linking objective and subjective measures of performance. *Public Administration Review*, 44(2), 118-127.
- Percy, S. L. 1986. In defense of citizen evaluations as performance measures. *Urban Affairs Quarterly*, 22(1), 66-83.
- Rothstein, B., & Uslaner, E. M. 2005. All for all: Equality, corruption, and social trust. *World politics*, 58(01), 41-72.
- Spreng, R. A., and Mackoy, R. D. 1996. An Empirical Examination of a Model of Perceived Service Quality and Satisfaction. *Journal of Retailing*, 72(2), 201–214.
- Van de Walle, S. and G. Bouckaert 2003. "Public Service Performance and Trust in Government: The Problem of Causality," *International Journal of Public Administration*, 26(8-9), 891-913.
- Van Ryzin G. G. 2004. The measurement of overall citizen satisfaction. *Public Performance and Management Review*, 27(3), 9-28.
- Welch, E. W., Hinnant, C. C., & Moon, M. J. 2005. Linking citizen satisfaction with e-government and trust in government. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 15(3), 371-391.
- Yang, K., & Holzer, M. 2006. The performance–trust link: Implications for performance measurement. *Public Administration Review*, 66(1), 114-126.
- Zeithaml, V. A and Parasuraman, A 1996. "The Behavioral Consequences of Service Quality," *Journal of Marketing*, 60(April), 31-46.