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1. INTRODUCTION

Unsustainable consumption and production is a tremendous environmental problem and is, therefore, a top priority for the global environmental agenda (UNEP, 2016). In 1992, resulting from the Rio de Janeiro UN Conference on Environment and Development, Sustainable Consumption and Production (SCP) was acknowledged as an all-embracing theme linking environmental and development challenges (UNEP, 2010). More recently, in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, SCP gained a central role for the achievement of sustainable development, reflected in its identification as a stand-alone Sustainable Development Goal (SDG 12) and also as a cross-cutting issue in many of the 17 goals and 169 targets adopted in the agenda (Ekins & Lemaire, 2012; Statistics Sweden, 2016). SCP relates to the use of products and services to respond to basic needs and provide a better quality of life, with minimal usage of natural resources, toxic materials, and emissions of by-products throughout the life cycle of those products or services, ultimately protecting the needs of future generations (UNEP, 2012).

Gender inequality issues are determinant and fundamentally shape unsustainable consumption and production practices (Sexsmith, 2012). This is particularly true in the consumption field, though consumer choices are also influenced by income level, social status and biases, however, gender is still a significant driver (OECD, 2008; Schultz & Stieß, 2009). Women tend to have less resource-intensive (and therefore more sustainable) lifestyles and display more pro-environmental attitudes, whether rich or poor, while men’s consumption patterns and ecological footprint are usually greater than women’s (Bulut, Kolkalan Çımrin, & Doğan, 2017; Chant, 2006; OECD, 2008). In addition, the gendering of non-sex-differentiated products and services is a standard marketing tool used to assign gender characteristics and increase demand and consumption for given products (UNEP, 2016). The Global Gender and Environment Outlook (2016) for instance, provide informative...
examples of how femininity and masculinity norms steer meat, car and personal cosmetics’ consumption. On the other hand, gender-based inequalities and social exclusion are key factors undermining people’s ability to anticipate and prepare for major disasters, as well as; it shapes their susceptibility and exposure to the impacts of climate change (Le Masson, Norton, & Wilkinson, 2012). Women and girls’ figure as more socially, economically and environmentally vulnerable to the effects of unsustainable consumption and production practices, such as extreme weather events and disasters. For example, studies have been reporting that climate change-related disasters and catastrophes, prompt gender-based impacts, with women and girls suffering from injuries, and diseases more than the men, as well as, being more prone to suffer abuse and sexual violence in post-disaster situations (OECD, 2008; Schultz & Steiß, 2009; Stevens, 2010).

To address the current global unsustainable consumption challenges, raising sustainability awareness in individuals is imperative. As such, education is indispensable (Avan, Aydlini, Bakar, & Alboga, 2011; McKeown, Hopkins, Rizi, & Chystalbridge, 2006). As Stanszus et al. (2017) stated, “The nexus of education, consumption and sustainable development has been at the top of the agenda since the very inception of the political process towards sustainable development at the Rio Conference in 1992.” Accordingly, Education for Sustainable Consumption (ESC) has the specific role of provisioning knowledge, values, and skills to enable individuals and social groups to become actors of change towards more sustainable consumption lifestyles. Consumers’ rights are therefore integrated into ESC, in order to empower them and to enable their full participation in both public debate and the economy in an informed, confident and ethical way (Choi & Didham, 2009; Stanszus et al., 2017; UNEP, 2017).

Nevertheless, ESC is commonly gender-neutral and ignores gender-based inequalities, even though those same inequalities determine and aggravate unsustainable consumption practices (Gough, 2016; OECD, 2008; Sexsmith, 2012; Stevens, 2010). Despite the scholarly recognition of a gender influence in ESC, a state-of-the-art analysis is still lacking. As far as the authors understand, there are no systematic review studies that address ESD in general nor ESC and gender specifically.

This paper, therefore, presents an examination of the research developments around ESC, focusing on the subject of waste education and the inclusion of gender perspectives in waste education. Waste is a component of SCP and invariably a relevant theme of ESC (Thoresen, 2010; UNEP, 2010). Waste management is present in three targets of SDG 12 (United Nations, 2016):

- Target 12.3 By 2030, halve per capita global food waste at the retail and consumer levels and reduce food losses along production and supply chains, including post-harvest losses;
- Target 12.4 By 2020, achieve the environmentally sound management of chemicals and all wastes throughout their life cycle, in accordance with agreed international frameworks, and significantly reduce their release to air, water and soil in order to minimize their adverse impacts on human health and the environment;
- Target 12.5 By 2030, substantially reduce waste generation through prevention, reduction, recycling, and reuse.

The specific objectives of this study are to: (1) comprehend what is the current state of the research literature on ESC and waste education; (2) identify instances were publications include gender perspectives; and, (3) analyze the extent and specific content of these gender perspectives’ inclusion.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The purpose of a systematic literature review is to present a balanced and impartial summary of previous relevant and quality research to inform the researchers’ decisions (Crombie & Davies, 2009). Compared with traditional literature review approaches, systematic review offers a structured review format, which is more transparent and replicable (Schulze, Nehler, Ottosson, & Thollander, 2016). Furthermore, a systematic review should encompass a comprehensive and systematic search for studies; an explicit and reproducible strategy for screening and including the studies, as well as, for data extraction; a detailed report and analysis of the results; and, a presentation of potential future pathways for research and practice (Caiado, de Freitas Dias, Mattos, Quelhas, & Leal Filho, 2017). To build up knowledge for the completion of this systematic review, an adaptation of the tool Knowledge Development Process intervention instrument - constructivist (ProKnow-C) was applied. Proknow-C was developed and presented in 2008, by the Laboratory of Multi-criteria Methodologies in Decision Support, from the Federal University of Santa Catarina in Brazil. The primary goal of such a tool is to aid in the process of selection and analysis of bibliographic material in a given subject, through a scientific process (Ensslin, Dutra, Ensslin, Chaves, & Dezem, 2015; Rosa, Petri, Matos, Ensslin, & Ferreira, 2015). Proknow-C follows a constructivist process that results in the formation of an in-depth understanding of the surveyed subject, based on the researcher’s interests and limitations (Rosa et al., 2015). It aims to mainly address the lack of structure in the process of identification, selection, and analysis of bibliographic references with scientific recognition, in what relates to the connection between the research objectives and the alignment and relevance of the retrieved content (Ensslin et al., 2015). ProKnow-C application includes the following steps: (1) definition of the bibliographic portfolio (BP); (2) bibliometric analysis of the portfolio; (3) systemic analysis; and (4) definition of the research question and its objectives (Caiado et al., 2017; Ensslin et al., 2015; Viegas et al., 2016).

- For the BP definition (step 1), initially, four broadly recognized scientific electronic databases were selected - ERIC, Scopus, Science Direct, and ISI Web of Science (WoS), with the search procedure combining the search terms in the titles, abstracts, and keywords. Two search strings were followed: the term ‘education for sustain-
able consumption' corresponded to the ESC theme search, and the terms, 'waste education,' 'waste awareness,' 'waste teaching,' and 'waste learning,' corresponded to the overall waste education theme search.

- Continuing with the BP definition, inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied to the results retrieved from the previous activity. In defining the BP, the intended subject for knowledge development is decided by the researcher (Ensslin et al., 2015). In this case, five criteria were selected: the type of publication; research discipline; relevance to the study, language, and availability. For the type of publication, only peer-reviewed academic journal articles were considered. This choice was based on the notion that those commonly retain a higher level of quality and are more readily available (Garza-Reyes, 2015; Schulze et al., 2016).

For the research discipline, within the ESC string, no criterion was applied, while for waste education, articles related to the Waste Management discipline were included. On the relevance to the study, it was limited to studies addressing education programs (focusing on solid waste education for the waste education string), and excluded, for example, articles only reporting on education awareness levels, and articles with content outside of the scope, such as reports on waste characterization and generation estimations. The final two language, and availability, considered the inclusion of articles in English and Portuguese and articles where the full text was available online, with no time-period limitation. As a result, a total of 1996 articles were identified and initially stored in the reference management software, EndNote.

- Next, an automatic screening of duplicate articles in EndNote, followed by a manual screening of the titles and abstracts to check the alignment with the subject of interest resulted, in the exclusion of additional articles. The screenings yielded a total of 62 articles, which were downloaded for full-text analysis, resulting in the final number of 46 articles being used for the steps 2 and 3 of the Proknow-C tool respectively, and for the gender inclusion assessment.

A summary of the steps performed to select the papers of the BP is presented in the Table 1.

To assess the inclusion of gender perspectives in the BP, a subsequent word search query within the 46 articles was completed, comprising the words, ‘gender,’ ‘sex,’ ‘woman,’ ‘women,’ ‘man,’ ‘men,’ ‘male,’ ‘female,’ ‘girl(s)’ and ‘boy(s).’ The bibliometric analysis and the gender perspective assessment were performed through qualitative data analysis, a task supported by the use of software QSR NVivo (QSR International Pty Ltd, 2017). The list of articles included in the literature review is displayed in Table 2.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Bibliometric analysis

3.1.1 Journal of publication

Generally, the majority of the articles were published in Procedia – Social and Behavioural Sciences (9 articles), the International Journal of Environmental and Science Education (6 articles) and Waste Management (5 articles), with all articles found within the waste education string. The remainder English language journals and the two Portuguese language journals within the waste education string - Revista Eletrônica de Administração and Cadernos EBAPE.BR, each presented one article (Figure 1). For the ESC string, five articles were published in Procedia – Social and Behavioural Sciences, while three articles were in the International Journal of Environmental and Science Education.

3.1.2 Distribution across time and geographical focus

The articles were found to be fragmented in time and location. The publication period spanned from 1990 to 2017, with 2016 presenting the highest number of publications (9 articles), while no publication was found for eight consecutive years in the 1990s (1991-1998), nor for seven consecutive years in the early 2000s (2000-2006), and none in 2010 (Figure 2). Most publications occurred after 2010, with all articles in 2012 addressing ESC, with and all articles in 2014 doing the same, followed by four publications on ESC in both 2016 and 2017. Individually for waste education, the earliest publication was in 2007, and most articles were published on this topic in 2013 and 2016, four and five articles, respectively. In almost three decades, more than 80% of all articles were published in the period between 2011 and 2017. The steady increase of research studies on this years can likely be linked to the outcomes of the UN Decade of Education for Sustainable Development (2005-2014), declared in 2002, followed by the launch of the UNESCO’s Global Action Programme (GAP) in 2014, as well as from the global adoption of the SDGs (UNESCO, 2007, 2014; United Nations, 2016).

The distribution across continents of study locations, suggests a global interest in the subject, mainly from higher-income economies, such as the United States of America, United Kingdom, Germany, Italy, and Australia. Middle-income economies such as Indonesia, Malaysia, and Brazil, had two articles each, all focusing on waste education, except Turkey, which had one article on ESC and another on waste education. The remaining locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1: Literature search process.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Databases</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstract, Title, Keywords</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria for inclusion/exclusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusion of duplicates and Title and Abstract review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-text analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Brumby et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Çelikler and Harman, 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Chalfoun, 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Chandra, 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Crawford, Luke and Van Pelt, 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Danilane and Marzano, 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Davis, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Finlayson et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(González-Gaudiano, 1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Gough and Scott, 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Hadiyanti, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Hadjichambis et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Hawas and Al-Habaibeh, 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Indrianti, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Kamaruddin, Pawson and Kingham, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Kanchanabhandhu and Woraphong, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Kearns et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Kossieris, Kozanis, et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Kossieris, Panayiotakis, et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Kumar, Somrongthong and Shaikh, 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Nowak et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Leger and Pruneau, 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Maddox et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Mostowfi, Mamaghani and Khorramar, 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Nagata et al., 2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Nowak et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Oliver, 2016)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
identified had one article each, with two articles not being location-specific. Moreover, despite the broad geographic distribution, only one article focused on cross-country research - a case study comparing Canada and the Solomon Islands (Figure 3).

3.2 Content analysis

Figure 4 presents a summary of the main findings of the content analysis, described in the following sub-sections.

3.2.1 Study methodologies

The study methodologies applied were also analyzed according to the application of quantitative, qualitative or mixed research methods. Commonly, for quantitative methods, the emphasis is on techniques such as descriptive statistics, regression models and parametric analysis. On the other hand, techniques that characterize qualitative methods include literature review, media analysis, case studies, interviews and focus groups, historical and ethnographic analysis, theory, and discourse analysis (Aikens, McKenzie, & Vaughter, 2016; Caiado et al., 2017; Palomo, Figueroa-Domecq, & Laguna, 2017; Schulze et al., 2016).

None of the retrieved articles used only quantitative methods. A total of 31 articles (68%), presented qualitative methodologies and 15 articles (32%) had a combination of quantitative and qualitative methods – a mixed methodology.

The majority of articles reported on education initiatives - programs, workshops, frameworks, web tools, and field experiments (36 articles). These included either all phases of the initiative (problem description/background, design, implementation, and evaluation of results), a combination of some of the phases, or a description of a single phase. For instance, in six articles the focus was on the design process of programs, frameworks, or a lesson plan (Hadiyanti, 2016; Kossieris, Panayiotakis, et al., 2014; Leger & Pruneau, 2012; Nowak, Hale, Lindholm, & Strausser, 2009;...
Polanec, Aberšek, & Glodež, 2013; Ribas, Vicente, Altaf, & Troccoli, 2017). There were also literature review studies, at times resulting in curriculum development and methodology proposals (Chandra, 2014; Davis, 2008; Oto, Cobano-glu, & Geray, 2012; Stanszus et al., 2017; Wahba, 2012).

The remaining articles include a policy framework analysis, problem evaluations, the description of the implementation of a theoretical framework, and cultural and historical analysis (González-Gaudiano, 1990; Painter, Thondhliana, & Kua, 2016; Pankina, Khrustalyova, Egarmin, & Shekhova, 2016; Ruini et al., 2016; Vieira & Echeverria, 2007).

### 3.2.2 Type of education and thematic focus

The classification for types of education was based on the OECD definition of forms of learning: formal, non-formal, and informal learning (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 2005):

- Formal learning is usually related to an educational in-
stitution or an enterprise that offers formal education and training programs, guided by a formal curriculum; it leads to a recognized completion certificate or degree;

- Non-formal learning refers to organized instruction programs that occur in educational or labor settings, guided or not by a formal curriculum, but does not lead to a formal qualification;

- Informal learning, which is the result of daily work-related, family or leisure activities, occurs outside of organized education or training provision, hence, not leading to certification; it is often referred to as ‘experience’ or ‘unintentional learning’, happening throughout life without the learner necessarily being aware of the knowledge or skill gained.

Concerning the thematic focus, all articles were grouped according to the ten themes of ESC, as introduced by Thoresen (2010): Life quality; Lifestyles; Resources; Economics; Consumption and the environment; Consumer rights and responsibilities; Information management; Health and safety; Change management and Global awareness. Also, articles specific to waste education, which is part of ESC’s Consumption and the environment theme, were further examined according to the waste type targeted.

An equal number of articles focused on formal and non-formal education – 15 articles each; six articles focused on the combination of non-formal and informal; three articles focused on the combination of formal and informal; two articles on the combination of formal and non-formal education, two articles on the combination of all types of education; and three articles did not specify the education type’s focus.

The formal education articles spanned from primary to tertiary levels with one study inclusive of faculty members as target subjects. These articles covered several ESC topics such as sustainable tourism, product lifecycle, energy conservation and efficiency, consumer education, packaging, carbon footprint and food (Chalfoun, 2014; Crawford, Luke, & Van Pelt, 2015; Danilane & Marzano, 2014; S. Gough & Scott, 1999; Hawas & Al-Habaibeh, 2017; Nowak et al., 2009; Oliver, 2016; Pankina et al., 2016; Traversa et al., 2017). Specifically in the waste education field, two articles addressed food waste, while the remaining articles addressed household waste, municipal solid waste, and solid waste in general (Çelikler & Harman, 2015; Davis, 2008; Finlayson, Gregory, Ludtke, Meoli, & Ryan, 2017; Maddox, Doran, Williams, & Kus, 2011; Painter et al., 2016; Redman, 2013; Zain et al., 2013).

As for the articles on non-formal education, these addressed different societal segments, including the local community in household waste management and natural resource conservation (Hadiyanti, 2016; Indrianti, 2016; Patterson, Lindén, Edward, Wilhelmsson, & Löfgren, 2009); the public and private sectors in environmental bioethics and food consumption (Oto et al., 2012; Ribas et al., 2017; Ruini et al., 2016); health professionals dealing with alcohol consumption issues and healthcare waste management (Brumby et al., 2011; Kumar, Somrongthong, & Shaikh, 2015); household water end-users (Kossieris, Kozanis, et al., 2014; Kossieris, Panayiotakis, et al., 2014); consumers and retailers (Nagata, Azuma, Oda, Fujiwara, & Hanaya, 2014); the general public on solid and municipal solid waste management (Kamaruddin, Pawson, & Kingham, 2013; Polanec et al., 2013); and, studies directed to youth from middle school age (Hadjichambis, Paraskeva-Hadjii-
Concerning the combination of non-formal and informal education, the majority dealt with ESC topics in general, such as awareness raising for teens on sustainable manufactured products (Roeder, Scheibleger, & Stark, 2016), climate change issues at the community level (Roeder et al., 2016), consumer literacy for parents in South Korea (Lee, Jo, & Lim, 2015), and the discussion on the relevance of learning festivals for urban citizens, with Ireland as case study (Kearns, Lane, Neylon, & Osborne, 2013). On the other hand, articles on waste education specifically were targeting communities for household waste (Zarate, Slotnick, & Ramos, 2008), and solid waste management (Kanchanabhandhu & Woraphong, 2016).

The combination of formal and informal education and the formal and non-formal education produced three and two articles, respectively. The former combination included topics on household waste management for youth in the community (Redman, 2013), and waste electrical and electronic equipment management for children and parents (Pérez-Belis, Bovea, & Simó, 2015), in addition to, an article on the relevance of traditional knowledge inclusion in the Western environmental education (Chandra, 2014). For formal and non-formal education, articles took up the topic of mindfulness in ESC, with university students and employees as target subjects (Stanszus et al., 2017), as well as household waste management for elementary and middle school students (Mostowfi, Mamaghani, & Khorrammar, 2016).

All forms of education combined were present in two articles, one on ESC for food and sustainable agriculture for children, parents and local the community (Schreinemachers et al., 2017), and one on waste management to evaluate a local education program (Vieira & Echeverria, 2007).

Lastly, in three of the articles reviewed, it was not explicitly stated the education type focus, with one focusing on information management and consumer rights and responsibilities, (Radulescu & Radulescu, 2011), another focusing on information management targeting Egyptian environmental designers (Wahba, 2012), and the other reporting on the status of ESC in Mexico (González-Gaudiano, 1990). Figures 4 to 6, present the main findings of the content analysis for the 46 articles.

3.3 The inclusion of Gender perspectives

As the result of the word search of the terms presented in the Materials and Methods section, the inclusion of gender perspectives could be seen in a total of 22 out of 46 articles, 12 corresponding to ESC and ten corresponding to waste education.

3.3.1 The landscape of the gender perspectives’ inclusion

The inclusion of gender in the set of 22 articles, can be observed in 2009, 2011-2014 with two articles per year, followed by a spike between 2015-2016, with four and seven articles respectively, and a retraction in 2017 with only two articles. As for the publications, the International Journal of Environmental and Science Education and Procedia – Social and Behavioural Sciences had five and four articles each, followed by two publications each from the Waste Management Journal and the Journal of Education and Practice. The remaining journals presented one article each.

Geographically, the study areas were diverse, with articles distributed almost evenly between the Global South and North (See Figure 8). Generally, the education programs described in 19 articles which an audience was identified were directed to four large target groups – Children (including parents); Community (including the general public and household users); Professionals (from the health sector and environmental designers); and Youth. Most of the subjects referred to the ESC themes, Consumption and the Environment, Lifestyles, Resources, and Information management.

3.3.2 Gender focus and Language

In five of the articles, gender was generally mentioned to contextualize, describe and give examples of aspects that were relevant to the study the authors were reporting on (Chandra, 2014; Kamaruddin et al., 2013; Pérez-Belis et al., 2015; Roeder et al., 2016; Wahba, 2012). In an addition-
In this article, the authors also mentioned the suitability of the education program they were proposing, to “both boys and girls” (Mostowfi et al., 2016). In another set of articles, gender was solely included to describe the composition of the studied groups, qualitatively and quantitatively. That was the case in Yang et al. (2012), mentioning the student participants, testing their proposed digital game system to aid in energy conservation education; for a gender differentiation of the study subjects as well as their parents’ education level in Çelikler & Harman (2015); and, in Kossieris, Panayiotakis, et al. (2014), on “sex”, being one of the several socio-demographic characteristics included in the semi-structured interviews conducted.

On the usage of language, four articles displayed ambiguity issues where the authors did not clarify if they were referring to one or both sexes. For instance, expressions such as “man-made,” “man and the environment” and “known to man,” were used (Hadjichambis et al., 2015; Nowak et al., 2009; Pankina et al., 2016; Radulescu & Radulescu, 2011). On the contrary, the distinction of (farm) men and women is seen throughout Brumby et al.’s (2011) work, to describe a training program for health professionals dealing with alcohol misuse in farm families. Precise language use, considering aspects related to gender neutrality versus specificity, is pertinent to avoid injustices and discrimination issues. Particularly in the field of education, in 1987, a call was made to UNESCO for the avoidance of gender-specific language, as a result of the growing awareness of the power of language. The agreed premise is that “If words and expressions that imply that women are inferior to men are constantly used, that assumption of inferiority tends to become part of our mindset” (UNESCO, 1999).

### 3.3.3 Female and male stereotypes

In one of the articles, the author briefly discussed that through comparison of the subjects’ reactions, female participants exhibited a higher emotional response to the education program compared with their male counterpart. The author raised the possibility that this difference, was related to the notion that “women react more emotionally to environmental problems, which coupled with an internal locus of control (or enhanced effectiveness knowledge) will likely to lead to acting pro-environmentally” (Redman, 2013). The rhetoric described in the article, raises an issue that has been brought up by other researchers, which points to the fact that more concerned pro-environmental discourses and attitude towards green consumer behavior, are more gender-available to girls, than to boys (Autio, Heiskanen, & Heinonen, 2009; Blenkinsop, Piersol, & Sitka-Sage, 2017). Predominantly in Western culture, women have been assigned specific attributes related to nurturing skills and tolerance, and while these traits are likely to be developed as a result of the cultural assignment, it then creates a self-fulfilling propheesy cycle, in which the onus of upkeeping sustainability, and caring and protecting the environment is more significant for women (Autio et al., 2009; Jolley & Shields, 2009; March, Smyth, & Mukhopadhyay, 1999).

### 3.3.4 Gender influence and the role of women

The distinctive role of gender was discussed in seven articles. While Redman (2013) considered how social norms were likely to dictate the gender differences regarding the subjects of the study’s willingness to adopt a lower meat diet, Pankina et al. (2016), included gender as an indicator of...
for the consumer market of everyday products.

More extensively, Kanchanabhandhu and Woraphong (2016) and Kumar et al. (2015), included gender-specific analysis of the level of knowledge, awareness, and participation, before and after the education program’s implementation, for a model of solid waste management and for a training model for healthcare waste, respectively. Apart from describing the design and implementation processes, the authors also relied on statistical tools to calculate the different indicators of knowledge, awareness and practice changes.

A similar emphasis on statistics to analyze the difference in the impact of the program for boys and girls was reported in a school gardening intervention for sustainable food consumption and agriculture practices in Bhutan (Schreinemachers et al., 2017). Additionally, an investigation of food waste reduction in a South African university campus, together with data collection, sampling, and analysis in a sex-disaggregated medium, was completed. As an outcome, the authors argued that focus on gender should be a central requirement in setting up the interventions to reduce food waste generation, as well as, the necessity for it to be the focus for future research (Painter et al., 2016).

Lastly, a single article fully addressed the significance of assessing gender aspects characterizing the education program’s targets subjects and used those to inform the strategies and resource allocation, along with the design and implementation of the program. Patterson et al. (2009), reported on an adult environmental education program targeting fisherwomen as the result of a preliminary analysis. The analysis elucidated that in the target area: women’s self-help groups were in place working on the community’s sustainable use of financial resources; a low level of awareness on the importance of coral reefs was prevalent among fisher people, particularly the fisherwomen; and, women were in a better position to influence male fishers, and children in the household. The importance of conducting a needs assessment including the existing gender profile, before the program development, has been recognized as a success factor in the development of appropriate interventions, particularly in sustainability-related issues (Aguilar, 1999; European Institute for Gender Equality, 2016; March et al., 1999).

Additionally, the acknowledgment of women as particularly influential in shaping sustainable consumption and waste management initiatives was stated in a couple of articles. Hadiyanti (2016), mentioned the presence of homemakers and working women, part of a community group engaged in a recycling project; while Indrianti (2016) discussed the role of a women’s group in initiating and maintaining a waste bank project (Figure 8).

4. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH OPPORTUNITIES

This study provides the first effort to comprehensively review the literature on Education for Sustainable Consumption (ESC), with a focus on Waste Education and the inclusion of gender perspectives, to assess the most up to date research in the field.

The application of the tool ProKnow-C granted the identification and bibliometric analysis of 46 academic articles, which were distributed globally and intermittently, from 1990 to 2017. The central portion was published in 2016, and the most frequent publisher of such articles being the Procedia – Social and Behavioural Sciences journal. Also, all forms of education practices – formal, non-formal, and informal, were described, targeting school children, youth, urban communities, public administrations, and the private sector, and several research methods were applied.

The limited number of articles retrieved indicates on the one hand that the topics of ESC and waste education are under-exploited in the scientific research community, and on the other hand, that the search criteria selected might have limited the number of resulting publications. To address the former, more studies need to be undertaken, particularly on ESC themes other than the dominant Consumption and the environment and Lifestyles; the latter
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Formal and Informal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thailand 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 8: Summary of articles with gender perspectives’ inclusion.
issue can be tackled by modifying the search criteria to include, for example, articles that are not fully accessible online (limiting the study to abstract review), and by including grey literature.

On the review of gender perspectives, 22 out of 46 articles presented some form of inclusion of gender aspects. However, for the most part, gender was superficially addressed. For example, gender was often only mentioned in passing or solely to present sex-disaggregated data to describe the studied groups. Other identified issues, worthy of further research include the usage of gender-blind language and the inclusion of discourse that can potentially contribute to reinforcing gender stereotypes.

While there were cases of recognition and comprehensive consideration of gender into the research, these were limited, ultimately reflecting a need in the field of environmental education’s research and practice, particularly in ESC and waste education, to expand on the topic and present ways towards the inclusion of gender perspectives. Accordingly, a follow-up study addressing this research and practice gap will explore the links between gender, waste, and education, and propose a framework for gender mainstreaming in waste education programs. The goal being, to harness the potential of gender inclusion for the effectiveness of the education programs, and for its contribution to gender equality.
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